



SEKOLAH TINGGI KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
(STKIP) PGRI BANGKALAN

Badan Penyelenggara : YLP-PT PGRI Bangkalan

(Berdasarkan SK.MenKumHam No.AHU.3296.AH.01.04 Tahun 2010 tgl.10-8-2010)

Jl.Soekarno Hatta No. 52 Telp./Fax. (031) 3092325 Bangkalan 69116

Website : www.stkipgri-bkl.ac.id email:stkipgri-bkl@yahoo.com

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Dr. H. Sunardjo, S.H., M.Hum
Nama PT : STKIP PGRI Bangkalan
Jabatan : Ketua

dengan ini menyatakan bahwa dokumen pelaksanaan **Penelitian dan Karya Ilmiah Dosen** dalam pengajuan Jabatan Akademik ini telah dilakukan scan plagiasi secara daring (online).

Jika di kemudian hari ternyata ditemukan data, informasi dan berkas yang tidak benar maka saya bertanggung jawab sepenuhnya dan bersedia diberikan sanksi administratif oleh Kementerian Riset, Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi atau kementerian/lembaga lain yang berwenang. Selain itu, jika ternyata di kemudian hari ditemukan hal-hal yang berimplikasi terhadap masalah hukum, saya bertanggung jawab penuh dan tidak melibatkan pihak lain, baik secara personal maupun kelembagaan.

Demikian pernyataan ini. Pernyataan ini dibuat dengan tanpa paksaan atau tekanan dari pihak lain.

Bangkalan, 24 Agustus 2018

Ketua



Dr. H. Sunardjo, S.H., M.Hum

NIDK. 8827750017



Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 25%

Date: Jumat, Agustus 24, 2018

Statistics: 1130 words Plagiarized / 4494 Total words

Remarks: Medium Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Selective Improvement.

Development of probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools for probability materials at junior high school students Dwi Ivayana Sari, and Didik Hermanto Citation: AIP Conference Proceedings 1867, 020042 (2017); doi: 10.1063/1.4994445 View online: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4994445> View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/apc/1867/1> Published by the American Institute of Physics Articles you may be interested in Probabilistic thinking of elementary school students in solving probability tasks based on math ability AIP Conference Proceedings 1867, 020028 (2017); 10.1063/1.4994431 The analysis of probability task completion; Taxonomy of probabilistic thinking-based across gender in elementary school students AIP Conference Proceedings 1868, 050004 (2017); 10.1063/1.4995131 The distance between the cylinder affect the cylinder pressure AIP Conference Proceedings 1867, 020043 (2017); 10.1063/1.4994446 Eigenvalue, eigenvector, eigenmode of reducible matrix and its application AIP Conference Proceedings 1867, 020044 (2017); 10.1063/1.4994447 Investigating adaptive reasoning and strategic competence: Difference male and female AIP Conference Proceedings 1867, 020033 (2017); 10.1063/1.4994436 Application of pedagogy reflective in statistical methods course and practicum statistical methods AIP Conference Proceedings 1867, 020026 (2017); 10.1063/1.4994429 Development of Probabilistic Thinking-Oriented Learning Tools for Probability Materials at Junior High School Students Dwi Ivayana Sari^{1, a)}, Didik Hermanto^{2, b)} 1STKIP PGRI Bangkalan (Soekarno Hatta Street no. 52, Bangkalan, East Java, Indonesia) 2STKIP PGRI Bangkalan a)dwiivayanasari@yahoo.com b)ddk_arn@yahoo.co.id Abstract.

This research is a developmental research of probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools for probability materials at ninth grade students. This study is aimed to produce a good probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools. The subjects were IX-A students of

MTs Model Bangkalan. The stages of this development research used 4-D development model which has been modified into define, design and develop.

Teaching learning tools consist of lesson plans, worksheets, teaching aids, student search instruments used was a sheet of learning tools validation, a sheet of teaching tools, a sheet of students' views questionnaire student sheet. The result of those instruments were analyzed descriptively to answer research objectives. The result was teaching learning tools in which oriented to probabilistic thinking of probability at ninth grade students which has been valid.

Since teaching and learning tools have been revised based on validation, and after experiment in class produced that teaching learning tools were effective, students' responses on the learning tools were positive and the validity, sensitivity and reliability category toward achievement test. In summary, this teaching learning tools can be used by teacher to teach probability for developing probabilistic thinking.

INTRODUCTION Probability is an important part of human life. Starting from a simple question, "Is it going to rain today?" Until a complicated question, "will the volcano erupt?". This is an example of a situation which may occur. Furthermore, to respond this situation, then a person is expected to think probabilistically.

Probabilistic thinking is a cognitive activity associated with a context which contains uncertainty element. Hence, probabilistic thinking is different with the other mathematical thinking which contain deterministic context. While in globalization era, one is not enough to be able to do deterministic thinking, but one must also be able to do probabilistic thinking.

This phenomenon occurs because probabilistic thinking is not only solving the certain situation but also the uncertain one. This is happened because [1] stated that a probabilistic reasoning implies to reason under uncertainty. This reasoning takes in consideration two important components: the variability of the result and randomness.

Furthermore [1] stated that the outcomes are mutually exclusive; meaning that there is no correlation between the outcome and what was happened before. In fact, randomness is uncertain, independent, without correlation, and it cannot be predicted with certainty. If discuss about probabilistic thinking, so closely connected with material of mathematics that is probability.

It is caused [2] stated that probability is an old mathematical discipline dealing with calculating probability of various events. Then [3] stated that probability is defined as

the quantification of chance and one of the mathematical components of probability is randomness. This is in line with [4] stated that probability is defined as the quantification of chance, and requires the recognition of randomness and the application of proportional thinking. More specifically, the integration of a range of concepts is required for appropriate probability reasoning.

[4] stated that probability was value which be given (estimate) of outcomes that occur in random situations. Therefore, probability is always associated with three events are certainty event, impossible event and possible event. Interpretation of these events is a number; 0 is interpretation of impossible event, 1 is interpretation of certain event and between 0 to 1 are interpretation of possible event. However, certainty event, impossible event and possible event could also be interpreted with words like; never, surely, sometimes, always or often.

These interpretation is ca y tudntsrson udtstrsongisaec y e liefs and individual experiences, intuitive strs,coxtnt oindid ultal ntx 5.lstent' eaninidfernt, henleo studntspoabthiialso dfer[] made characterization of studts' rb abilistic thinking; prestructural probabilistic thinking, unistructural probabilistic thinking, multistructural probabilistic thinking, and relational probabilistic thinking.

Description of prestructural probabilistic thinking showed that student thinking is irrelevant, non-mathematical, or personalized, description of unistructural probabilistic thinking showed that student thinking is quantitative and non-proportional, description of multistructural probabilistic thinking showed that student thinking is quantitative and proportional, and description of relational probabilistic thinking showed that student thinking shows an interconnection of probabilistic ideas.

Based on mathematics curriculum in Indonesia, probability is firstly introduced at the junior high school level. This means junior high school students especially ninth grade students, are not only required to be able to think about deterministic context, but junior high school students began to be prosecuted for probabilistic thinking.

Students are required to be able to predict possibility of what will be happen and be able to predict how probability of an event if an experiment is performed. For example, if there is one coin or a dice is thrown, then students can predict likelihood which may occur, and calculate probability of picture on coin and 5 dots on the dice. This is a sile xale evelo uentspoabthin . The teaching learning process of probability is different with teaching learning process of other math materials.

If teaching learning process of other math materials can be said meaningful learning

even without using an experiment, but teaching learning process of probability can ' be said meaningful learning without using an experiment. Based on research results of [7] stated that the importance of the demonstration and concrete experience with teaching probability contents and established that children better understood more difficult concepts if they actively participated in the corresponding demonstrations.

This is in line with [8] stated that concrete experiments made on the topic of probability Increased students' achievement and helped learning to take place at conceptual level. So teaching learning process of probability, should be done with concrete experiments and students actively participate in a demonstration. [9] stated that personal engagement, sensory experience with the manipulative, oral argument on what happens to the disks, motivation to win and experimentation intervened between the two Conditions and lead young children in higher estimations about uncertain events within a contextualized problem situation.

METHOD This type of research paper was developmental research with quantitative descriptive approach, hence analysis technique used descriptive statistical analysis [10]. Development research was conducted to produce a good probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools of probability materials at ninth grade junior high school. Teaching- learning tools consist of lesson plan, se' rht, nintecanmeda sp)andstents achievement test.

Stage of development teaching learning tools consist of (1) define stage was aimed to establish and define requisites of teaching learning by doing front-end analysis, learner analysis, concept analysis, task analysis, and formulate specifying instructional objectives, (2) design stage was aimed to produce teaching learning tools design which oriented probabilistic thinking. Result of this stage was called first draft.

Activities in this stage include media selection, format selection, initial design, (3) develop stage was aimed to produce a final draft; teaching learning draft was revised based on input of experts (validator) and data from developmental testing. Activities of develop stage were (1) expert validation was conducted by experts as professor of mathematics education to get feedback or suggestions for teaching learning tools perfection. These validation results were analyzed and used as consideration in revising first draft.

Teaching learning tools that have been revised based on results of validation referred to second draft, (2) developmental testing was aimed to obtain direct feedback from field to teaching learning tools that have been prepared. Developmental testing results were analyzed and used as consideration in revising second draft. Second draft that have

been revised was called final draft. Subject of Developmental Testing Developmental testing was conducted in ninth grade MTs Model Bangkalan.

The subject were IX-A students of MTs Model Bangkalan. Design of Developmental Testing Design of developmental testing was one group pretest-posttest design. This model used twice data collection (pretest and posttest) on subject of research. Analysis Technique Analysis of Validation Data First draft which has been validated by validator was valid if average score was categorized as good or excellent.

Taverscoe tegoy llo10 age 5: very no good, 1.50 < aver=25: o 25 aver=3.0od 5 aver= 4.00: excellent. Thus the analysis results that do not meet with good or excellent categories will be taken into consideration to revise teaching learning tools that have been tested. Aoch binMaain ss ta Tcherabtimanangclass saideftiif oe f y ecin nsidedmini3 Thus the analysis results that do not full fill the good or excellent categories will be taken into consideration to revise teaching-learning tools that have been tested.

Aaotuen ctivities Da Studntsacvitieddinteacnlearnacities s yze sinpcegnay: perentges of ss ' ativities = Fny of eve obstio apec Tota freny of obstio apec × Studntsacvities e obeffeciteacinlearg, ileast ix ecs f uent tivifo ch meeting performed effective limits criah ler mit 1% oidl me. lStudtsacties o not fulfill the effective limits criteria, they will be taken into consideration to revise teaching learning tools that have been tested.

Aaotuen espse ta Studntsrodwaotainedthrugqtionair yze npcees. entro was said to be positive if students' answer to statement for every response aspects was obtained by percentage =8%. anile, ifpc entage which was obtained less than 80%, then teaching learning will be considered for revision.

Validity Testing of Achievement Test One technique was used to determine validity of achievement test was correlate scores which be obtained on each item with total score. Product moment correlation formula was used, namely: $r = \frac{\sum(X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{(\sum(X_i - \bar{X})^2)(\sum(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2)}}$ In this study, a test item was valid if it was categorized high or very high. While validity of test items were categorized low and very low, will be revised.

Sensitivity Testing of Achievement Test Sensitivity testing of achievement test was a measure to know how test items can distinguish level of students' abilities before and after receiving learning. To determine sensitivity testing of test items used formula: $S = \frac{\sum(X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum(X_i - \bar{X})^2}$ In this study, a test item was sensitive if its sensitive score was $S \geq 0,30$. While sensitive score of test items were $S < 0,30$, will be revised.

Reliability Testing of Achievement Test The formula to analyze reliability of achievement test was formula that corresponds to narrative test (essay), namely alpha formula as follows: $r_{11} (??) = (?? ?? - 1) (1 - ? ?? ?? 2 ?? ?? 2)$ In this study, a test item was reliable if it was categorized high or very high. While reliability of test items were categorized low and very low, will be revised.

As a learning observer, the activities were good, students' responses were positive and the validity, sensitivity and reliability category toward achievement test. In this developmental testing, all comments and suggestions from teacher, students and observers were recorded as an input to revise second draft devices into final draft. If developmental testing results showed second draft has not fulfilled a good criterion, then second draft was revised to be $r_{jj}=1$.

Draft II (j) was tested again in one class (different with class of first developmental testing) and one class were drawn randomly. RESULT Based on 4-D developmental model which has been modified, the following is result of teaching learning tools development. Description of Define Stage Front-End Analysis Front-end analysis was done to examine basic problems, then looked for an alternative solution.

This activity was done by selecting relevant approaches and assess materials/teaching learning resources that suitable with problems. In this stage researcher found that in 2015/2016 academic year, MTs Model Bangkalan done 2013 curriculum. However, teaching learning process in ninth grade of MTs Model Bangkalan not yet fully applied scientific approach and student centered learning.

That was still transition from teacher centered learning to student centered learning. Teacher was still visible guiding students to learn. This happens because in MTs Model Bangkalan was still implementing 2013 curriculum 1 year ago. Students were not familiar with scientific approach implementation in the classroom.

This was evident in answering questions and asked, often a good intelligent students dominated while less intelligent students tend to be passive. Also in try stage, teacher gave more clues than provided opportunity for students to develop their ability to solve problems. students tend to be less active in teaching learning process.

Based on above, it was needed learning alternative which student centered learning and teacher's role as facilitator. One student centered learning was teaching learning process which be oriented probabilistic thinking on probability material. Implementation of teaching learning process which be oriented probabilistic thinking was required

teaching learning tools.

While teaching learning tools which was used in schools was not adequate to implement this teaching learning process, so necessary to develop an teaching learning tools to support implementation of teaching learning process. In addition, researcher also found that teaching learning tools was available and used by mathematics teacher in MTs Model Bangkalan not suitable with teaching learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking. Students also did not have worksheet which could allow students actively in teaching learning with scientific approach.

So that, it was needed conceived and developed teaching learning tools and to support implementation of teaching learning process which be oriented probabilistic thinking. Thus, this study developed teaching learning tools such as lesson plans, worksheets, learning media and achievement tests. Learner Analysis Learner analysis was aimed to study characteristics of ninth grade students in MTs Model Bangkalan.

Analysis results were used to design and develop teaching learning tools. Method of documentation and interviews with teacher descriptive; 1. e' lilitinMTMoel ngn s ios. Therefore, in accepting material of subject required a relatively long time, (2) Students age of ninth grade in MTs Model Bangkalan were range 13-15 years.

This indicated that students were on formal development stage. In this stage, student was already capable to abstract thinking and logical thinking by using "possibility" thinking pattern. Students have scientific thinking models with type hypothetico-inductive and deductive, so they could made conclusions, interpret and generate hypotheses, (3) Ninth grade students in MTs Model Bangkalan got probability material in eight grade, based on 2013 curriculum.

So that probability material in eight grade as a prerequisite material to study probability in ninth grade, (4) Division of classes in MTs Model Bangkalan was heterogeneous based on academic ability. Based on learner analysis above, researcher provided teaching learning that could accommodate heterogeneity based on studs' admicaby f e well as experiments carried out by a group to develop probabilistic thinking of each individual and develop communication in group discussions.

One appropriate teaching learning was teaching learning which was oriented probabilistic thinking. Concept Analysis Concept analysis was aimed to identify main parts which were taught and arranged systematically. Probability material consists of (1) sample space, (2) empirical probability, and (3) theoretical probability.

Task Analysis Task analysis examined type of tasks related with probability which must be solved by students. Results of task analysis were (1) determine sample space, (2) Determine empirical probability of an event, (3) solving problems in daily life associated with empirical probability, (4) Determine theoretical probability of an event, and (5) solving problems in daily life associated with theoretical probability.

Formulate Specifying Instructional Objectives Formulate specifying instructional objectives was aimed to formulate indicators of achievement test based on material and task analysis. Results of formulate specifying instructional objectives activities were (1) students could explain definition of sample space, (2) students could determine sample space of an experiment, (3) students could determine empirical probability of an event, (4) students could apply principles of empirical probability to solve problems in daily life, (5) students could determine theoretical probability of an event, and (6) students could apply principles of theoretical probability to solve problems in daily life. Description of Design Stage Media Selection The balls in box, spinner and dice were the probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools.

Balls in box and dice are available in stores, however spinners must be developed by researchers. The following picture of spinner in this study. FIGURE 1. Spinner Media Format Selection Format of lesson plan which was used according to lesson plan format in 2013 curriculum. Lesson plan consisted standards of competence, main competence, basic competence, indicators, learning objectives, learning materials, learning method and learning activities.

Learning content refers to material analysis results, task analysis results, and specifying instructional objectives which have been formulated in define stage. Through application of teaching learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking was expected students were become more active. Learning resources which will be developed consistent achievement test. Students worksheets were made interesting with variety of colors so that students were interested.

In addition, there were preliminary as motivation matter will be easier for students to remember material. Tasks were given in worksheets guided students to conduct experiments and some questions related experiments have been conducted, as well as exercises. Initial Design Test developed, then administered in the form of essay to measure students' cognitive abilities.

Achievement test was classified benchmark tests, it would be used to measure achievement of basic competencies which have been formulated. Description of Development Stage Expert Validation Based on results of validation were performed by

3 validator, obtained an assessment of lesson plans, worksheets, learning media and achievement test which have been developed in good and excellent categories.

However, there were suggestions and comments from validator, so research did some revisions and improvements to first draft thus resulting second draft, such as (1) there was no phrase "note results of experiments in the following table", (2) phrase "explain definition of sample space by using your words", was replaced with phrase "write definition of sample space by using your words", (3) phrase "explain definition of sample space elements by using your words", was replaced with phrase "write definition of sample space elements by using your words", (4) phrase "please complete the following table" was replaced by phrase "note results of experiments in the following table", and (5) phrase "Can you distinguish empirical probability and theoretical probability?", was replaced with phrase "based on the above activities, write definition of empirical probability by using your words".

Developmental Testing After teaching learning tools were validated, then result of validation was called second draft. Second draft was used to developmental testing. Developmental testing was started from July 23 to August 6, 2016. Developmental testing was aimed to look suitability of time needed by teacher to teach probability material by using teaching learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking. Data of developmental testing were analyzed to be taken into consideration in revising second draft. Teacher s'ayimagngcla .Obvatio esu f her' ilitimaginc y hi learning model which be oriented probabilistic thinking were effective because observation results on any observation aspect during three meetings were in good or excellent category. Student ctit .Obvatn esultostudntsacitiin eemeenwerexpressedas pce osern ecostenacvitcosts f ntin herexpnatioan qn; h ering with their group members and receiving worksheet; viewing questions in worksheet and media which has been provided; answering questions from teacher and asking if there are things that are not understood; conducting experiments by using ball, spinner, dice or coins; discussing results of the experiments which have been conducted with each group; solving problems in worksheet are related to concepts; some groups present their work in front of class and other students give feedback; some groups receive awards and other groups provide uploase; summarizing and note if there are things which are considered important; behavior is irrelevant.

Based on results of descriptive analysis showed that all observation aspects were within tolerance effectiveness. Based on student activities criteria, sothat udsacities e e tive . Student sponse . Table 3sho tudntsfeen hi nig mpnent.Iswedthamo students were like with material, worksheet, learning ambience iclaa her' chniqbungteacin learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking. TABLE 1 .Sdts' eeligstochg inCooen Teaching

Learning Components Like (%) Unlike (%) Material Worksheet Learning ambience in class Tchertecniq interest and 10% from number of students in class were uninterest 80% from number of students in class said that language on worksheet can be understood.

And 20% from number of students in class said that language on worksheet can worksheet performance showed that 80% from number of students in class said that worksheet performance was interest. Then performance was interest. Based on above data, it showed that students' answers to statement on questionnaire were positive for every showed that students' response to teaching learning tools which be oriented probabilistic thinking was positive. Validity testing. Achievement test data was analyzed for look validity, sensitivity, and reliability.

Result of validity calculation of each test items by using product moment correlation formula presented in the following table. TABLE 2. Validity of test items Number 1 2 3 4 5 Rxy 0,481 0,484 0,442 0,565 0,76 Validity enough enough enough enough high Based on validity criteria showed that each test items considered valid. Sensitivity testing. Result of sensitivity calculation of each test items by using sensitivity index formula presented in the following table.

TABLE 3. Sensitivity of test items Number 1 2 3 4 5 S 0,414 0,457 0,431 0,621 0,819 sensitivity sensitive sensitive sensitive sensitive sensitive Based on sensitivity criteria, all test items were categorized as good. Reliability testing. Based on reliability calculation by using Alpha formula, obtained reliability coefficient of 0.426.

It means that reliability of achievement test was categorized enough. Based on description analysis results of developmental testing, it was concluded teaching learning tools which be oriented probabilistic thinking were valid, because of teacher's ability in managing class was effect i tudnts activities in class were good and students' response to teaching learning tools was also positive and the validity, sensitivity and reliability category toward achievement test.

DISCUSSION Based on descriptive analysis results, it could be concluded that probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools which have been developed, could be used as an alternative to teach probability material in ninth grade. This was as the result of learning application by using probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools categorized as effective.

Etives f hig rg s u y herabtimanclas s fecive,studnts acs egod udsrosestoteacnglearngtols epsitia hvalidy,sen ivity and reliability category toward achievement test. Ey bvan spts

teaching learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking was easy to be done.

Even, it was supported by discussion between researcher and teacher in MTS Model before implementing teaching learning and how to guide students in experimental activities. Based on descriptive analysis results of students' responses, showed that teaching learning were good. Meanwhile teaching learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking could be students' achievement high. In line [4] stated that "concrete experiments take place actual. So through once experiment material, it made students have more time to discuss in their group and provided opportunities for students to predict an event through experiment. In general, teaching learning which be oriented probabilistic thinking was student centered learning.

So that students were actively involved in learning. It was seen from percentage of students' responses in process. Based on descriptive analysis results showed that students' response to teaching learning was positive. It could be looked that most students were interested in learning process, students' participation in learning probabilistic thinking through learning tools, students' performance in learning probabilistic thinking and media performances were interest.

Based on descriptive analysis results showed that achievement test was valid, sensitive and reliable. It indicated that questions of achievement test could measure probabilistic thinking ability of junior high school students in solving probability. CONCLUSION Probabilistic thinking-oriented learning tools for probability materials were designed based on the analysis at this stage of definition.

Moreover, the results of designed learning tools were validated by three validators. Consequently, revisions were made based on feedback from the validators. After that, the learning tools were tested to IX students of junior high school. The trial results suggest that the ability of teachers to manage learning by using learning tools were good, the activity of students in participating in learning was good and the students' response to the learning device was also positive and fulfill the category of valid, reliable and sensitive to learning about the test results.

In conclusion, the learning tools can be used as an alternative for teachers / practitioners to send in pursuit of material probability of using this learning tool, to develop students' probabilistic thinking. REFERENCES 1. A. Savar, "Depg Probabilistic Thinking: What About Probability?" Probabilistic Thinking, edited by Egan J. Chernof and B. Sriraman (Spinger, New York, 2014), pp. 283-298. 2. T. Hodnik-Cadez, M.

Skrbe, Understanding The Concepts in Probability of Pre-school and Early School Children, Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education, (2011), Vol. 7, No.4, pp. 263- 279. 3. Z. Nikiforidou, J. Pange, The Notions of Chance and Probabilities in Preschoolers, Early Childhood Educ J, (2010), 38, pp. 305 – 311. 4. J. Way, Chance Connections, The Mathematical Association of Victoria, (2008), access from: <http://www.mav.vic.edu.au/files/conferences/2008/Way/WayJ2008.doc>.

5. S. Sharma, Personal Experience and Beliefs in Probabilistic Reasoning: Implications for Research, International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, (2006), Vol. 1, No. 1. 6. E. S. Mooney, C. W. Langrall, J. T.

Hertel, " A Practitional Perspective on Probabilistic Thinking Models and Frameworks," in Probabilistic Thinking, edited by Egan J. Chernof and B. Sriraman (Spinger, New York, 2014), pp. 495-507. 7. A. Gelman, M. E. Glickman, Some Class-participation Demonstrations for Introductory Probability and Statistics, Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, (2000), 25(1), pp.

8-10. 8. R. Gurbuz, H. Catlioglu, O. Birgin, E. Erdem, An Investigation of F dSdts' Cocetu Development of Probability through Activity Based Instruction: A Quasi- Experimental Study, Kuram ve Uygulamada Egitim Bilimleri/Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, (2010), 10(2), pp. 1053-1068. 9. Z. Nikiforidou, J. Pange, T.

Chadjipadelis, Intuitive and Informal Knowledge in Preschool ers' Development of Probabilistic Thinking, Early Childhood Educ J, (2013), 45, pp. 347 – 357. 10. J. W. Creswell, Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approach 2nd Edition (Sage Publication, London, 2007).

INTERNET SOURCES:

-
- 0% - <http://aapt.scitation.org/doi/10.1119/1>.
 - 0% - Empty
 - 0% - <https://www.aapt.org/Conferences/wm2017/>
 - 0% - <http://scholar.google.com/citations?user>
 - 0% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>.
 - 0% - <http://www.arabtexts.com/?p=2430>
 - 0% - <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/12770109>
 - 2% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
 - 2% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
 - 2% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>.
0% - <https://link.springer.com/article/10.100>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>.
0% - <https://www.importantindia.com/16983/ess>
0% - <http://volcano.oregonstate.edu/book/expo>
0% - <http://www.longwood.edu/staff/mcgeecw/sa>
0% - <http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/34893086>
0% - <https://www.quora.com/Was-Einstein-s-den>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% - <https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.100>
0% - <http://cob.jmu.edu/stevensp/291/ragsdale>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% - <http://www.mav.vic.edu.au/files/conferen>
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/neu>
0% - <https://www.dyclassroom.com/apptitude/pro>
0% - <https://www.quora.com/Prove-that-probabi>
0% - <https://www.reddit.com/r/math/comments/8>
1% - https://www.researchgate.net/profile/L_K
0% - <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.1063>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
1% - https://www.researchgate.net/profile/L_K
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sib>
0% - <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1801.05088>
0% - <https://www.thoughtco.com/probabilities->
0% - <http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/teachi>
0% - <http://pubs.sciepub.com/education/1/7/5/>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% - <http://ncrresearchmethod.blogspot.com/20>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>.
0% - <http://www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/documents/>

0% - <https://quizlet.com/94872354/education-p>
0% - <http://www.webstyleguide.com/wsg3/1-proc>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <http://comm-org.wisc.edu/papers2004/tink>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <https://www.businessballs.com/facilitati>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <https://www.science.gov/topicpages/e/ext>
0% - <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=s>
0% - <http://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1757-899>
0% - <http://www.ascd.org/publications/curricu>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/20389340>
0% - <http://www.ascd.org/publications/books/1>
0% - <http://www.mathcs.duq.edu/~packer/Course>
0% - <http://www.real-statistics.com/reliabili>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - https://www.cecocity.com/aoa/jaoa_mag/2013
0% - <http://stu.westga.edu/~ahinson1/abed6106>
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% - <https://www.cdc.gov/traumaticbraininjury>
0% - <https://direct.ed.gov/policy/speced/guid>
2% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% - <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ104>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/34992277>
0% - <http://www.csuchico.edu/~curban/syllabi/>
0% - <https://www.nmefoundation.org/getmedia/b>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/37611299>
0% - <http://web.stanford.edu/group/redlab/cgi>
0% - <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~jscarson/>
0% - <https://quizlet.com/159010381/midterm-mu>
0% - <http://www.dynamicflight.com/avcfibook/l>
0% - <http://ccliconference.org/files/2010/03/>
0% - <http://www.oecd.org/education/skills-bey>
0% - <https://www.thefreelibrary.com/Concept+m>
0% - <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article>
0% - <https://learningforward.org/standards/im>
0% - <https://www.science.gov/topicpages/t/tea>
0% - <https://www.nap.edu/read/13170/chapter/5>

0% - <http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/pa>
0% - <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collaborat>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Her>
0% - <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED542>
0% - <https://www.science.gov/topicpages/m/mat>
0% - <http://psych.hanover.edu/research/Thesis>
0% - <https://quizlet.com/106118549/cognitive->
0% - <http://www.cde.ca.gov/be/ag/ag//yr11/doc>
0% - <https://www.science.gov/topicpages/e/ext>
0% - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/article>
0% - <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article->
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <http://kinerja.unnes.ac.id/v2/kinerja/do>
0% - <http://www3.govst.edu/kriordan/files/ssc>
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% - <https://www.nap.edu/read/21794/chapter/5>
0% - <https://sciencing.com/examples-of-real-l>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/presentation/2566>
0% - <http://www.personal.psu.edu/bxb11/Object>
0% - <https://stattrek.com/probability/probabi>
0% - <https://blog.udemy.com/theoretical-proba>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/24775162>
0% - <http://www.tradoc.army.mil/tpubs/pams/tp>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sai>
0% - <http://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-659>
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ale>
0% - <https://sites.google.com/site/thefollowu>
0% - <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/And>
0% - <https://link.springer.com/article/10.100>
0% - <https://www.rainforest-alliance.org/busi>
0% - https://saylordotorg.github.io/text_intr
0% - <https://link.springer.com/article/10.100>
0% - <https://stats.stackexchange.com/q/265910>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/>
0% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% - <https://bmcomeduc.biomedcentral.com/art>
0% - <http://benbaab.com/salkind/Correlations>

0% - <https://statistics.laerd.com/spss-tutori>
0% - <https://github.com/frappe/data-gov-in/bl>
0% - <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/249f/64>
2% - <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% - <https://www.science.gov/topicpages/i/iba>
0% - <https://www.time4learning.com/education/>
1% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/pdf/10.106>
0% - <https://www.stat.auckland.ac.nz/~iase/pu>
0% - <https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.co>
0% - <http://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-659>
0% - <https://www.science.gov/topicpages/i/iba>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/32572752>
0% - <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.108>
0% - <https://educationaltechnologyjournal.spr>
0% - <https://www.scribd.com/doc/240645966/Mak>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
4% - <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1>
0% - <http://www.estp.com.tr/>
0% - <https://play.google.com/store/books/deta>